

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR POLK COUNTY

GAYLAND D. PURDUM,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MATTHEW S. ROSEN,

Defendant.

Case No. LACL127484

VERDICT FORM

RICHARD G. BLANE, II, JUDGE

We find the following verdict on the questions submitted to us:

Question No. 1: Was the Defendant Matthew S. Rosen at fault?

Answer "Yes" or "No."

ANSWER: YES

[If your answer is "No," do not answer any further questions.]

Question No. 2: Was Defendant's fault a cause of Plaintiff's damage?

Answer "Yes" or "No."

ANSWER: No

[If your answer is "No," do not answer any further questions.]

Question No. 3: Was Plaintiff Gayland D. Purdum at fault?

Answer "Yes" or "No."

ANSWER: YES

[If your answer is "No," do not answer Question Nos. 4 or 5. Go to Question No. 6.]

Page One of Four Pages

FILED
POLK COUNTY, IA.
2014 JUN 12 AM 10:31
CLERK DISTRICT COURT

Question No. 4: Was Plaintiff's fault a cause of his damages?

Answer "Yes" or "No."

ANSWER: YES

[If your answer is "No," do not answer Question No. 5. Go to Question No. 6.]

Question No. 5: Using 100% as the total combined fault of Plaintiff and Defendant, which was a cause of Plaintiff's damage, what percentage of such combined fault do you assign to Plaintiff and what percentage of such combined fault do you assign to Defendant?

Plaintiff 65 %

Defendant 35 %

TOTAL: 100%

[If you find Plaintiff to be more than 50% at fault, do not answer Question No. 6.]

Question No. 6: State the amount of damages sustained by Plaintiff. Do not take into consideration any reduction of damages due to Plaintiff's fault.

| | |
|--|----------|
| 1. Past medical expenses | \$ _____ |
| 2. Future medical expenses | \$ _____ |
| 3. Past loss of function of the body | \$ _____ |
| 4. Future loss of function of the body | \$ _____ |
| 5. Past pain and suffering | \$ _____ |
| 6. Future pain and suffering | \$ _____ |
| TOTAL (add the separate items of damage) | \$ _____ |

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1.

Question No. 1: Were any of Plaintiff Gayland Purdum's medical bills paid by Medicare?

Answer "Yes" or "No"

ANSWER: YES

[If your answer is "no", do not answer the remaining questions.]

Question No. 2: Will any amounts paid by Medicare have to be refunded by Plaintiff to Medicare?

Answer "Yes" or "No."

ANSWER: NO

[If your answer is "yes", you may not reduce Plaintiff's damages for medical expenses by any amounts which must be refunded by Plaintiff to Medicare.]

Question No. 3: What amount of medical expenses is to be refunded by Plaintiff to Medicare?

ANSWER: \$ —

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2.

Question No. 1: Were any of Plaintiff Gayland Purdum's medical bills paid by Sentinel?

Answer "Yes" or "No."

ANSWER: YES

[If your answer is "no", do not answer the remaining questions.]

Question No. 2: Will any amounts paid by Sentinel have to be refunded by Plaintiff to Sentinel?

Answer "Yes" or "No."

ANSWER: NO

[If your answer is "yes", you may not reduce Plaintiff's damages for medical expenses by any amounts which must be refunded by Plaintiff to Sentinel.]

Question No. 3: What amount of medical expenses is to be refunded by Plaintiff to Sentinel?


ANSWER: \$ —


PRESIDING JUROR*

*To be signed only if verdict is unanimous.


Maria D. Clark #8
JUROR**

Burgundy Winter
JUROR**


JUROR**

Bruce E. Friest
JUROR**

Tina Basinger
JUROR**


JUROR**

JUROR**

**To be signed by the jurors agreeing thereto after six hours or more of deliberation.