1	IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR CERRO GORDO COUNTY	
2	RAY D. PATTERSON, )	
3	Plaintiff(s), ) LACV073714	
4	v. VERDICT FORM(S)	
5	JASON SENCER and LAURA SENCER, )	
6	Defendant(s).	
7		
8	We find the following verdicts on all of the counts submitted to us:	
9		
10	COUNT I – breach of contract	
11	Question no. 1: Has Patterson proven all of the elements of breach of	
12	contract as set out in Instruction No     _ ?	
13		
14	YES	
15	NO X	
16		
17	If your answer is YES, then answer question no. 2. If your answer is NO	
18	then skip question no. 2 and proceed to the next Count.	
19		
20	Question no. 2: What amount do you award Patterson for the Sencers'	
21	breach of contract?	
22		
23	ANSWER \$	
24		

## **COUNT II – fraudulent misrepresentation**

Question no. 1: Has Patterson proven all of the elements of fraudulent misrepresentation as set out in Instruction No. \_\_\_\_\_?

YES \_\_\_\_\_

If your answer is YES, then answer question no. 2. If your answer is NO, then skip question no. 2 and proceed to the next Count.

**Question no. 2**: What amount do you award Patterson for the Sencers' fraudulent misrepresentation?

#### COUNT III - fraudulent nondisclosure

Question no. 1: Has Patterson proven all of the elements of fraudulent nondisclosure as set out in Instruction No. <u>15</u>?

YES \_\_\_\_

If your answer is YES, then answer question no. 2. If your answer is NO, then skip question no. 2 and proceed to the next Count.

**Question no. 2**: What amount do you award Patterson for the Sencers' fraudulent nondisclosure?

### COUNT IV - negligent misrepresentation

Question no. 1: Has Patterson proven all of the elements of negligent misrepresentation as set out in Instruction No. 23?

YES X

If your answer is YES, then answer question no. 2. If your answer is NO, then skip question no. 2 and proceed to the next Count.

**Question no. 2**: What amount do you award Patterson for the Sencers' negligent misrepresentation?

ANSWER \$ 130, 400

### COUNT V - violation of statutory duty to disclose

Question no. 1: Has Patterson proven all of the elements of violation of lowa Code Section 558.4 as set out in Instruction No. 27?

YES \_\_\_\_

If your answer is YES, then answer question no. 2. If your answer is NO, then skip question no. 2 and proceed to the next Count.

**Question no. 2**: What amount do you award Patterson for the Sencers' violation of Iowa Code Section 558.4?

ANSWER \$ 30, 400

## COUNT VI - consumer fraud

Question no. 1: Has Patterson proven all of the elements of consumer fraud as set out in Instruction No. 28.

If your answer is YES, then answer question no. 2. If your answer is NO, then skip question no. 2 and proceed to the next Count.

Question no. 2: What amount do you award Patterson for the Sencers' consumer fraud?

#### 02171 LACV073714 - 2025 MAY 23 02:00 PM CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT P

CERRO GORDO Page 45 of 46

## 

## COUNT VII - breach of implied warranty of workmanship

Question no. 1: Has Patterson proven all of the elements of breach of implied warranty of workmanship as set out in Instruction No. 29.

YES

NO \_\_\_\_

If your answer is YES, then answer question no. 2. If your answer is NO, then skip question nos. 2 & 3 and proceed to the next Count.

Question no. 2: What amount do you award Patterson for the Sencers' breach of implied warranty of workmanship?

ANSWER \$\_\_\_\_\_

Question no. 3: Are any of these damages not related to water and mold? If so, what is the amount of damages not related to water and mold?

### SIGNATURE PAGE

2			
3	If your verdict is unanimous, then only the foreperson needs to sign the		
4	verdict form. If you have deliberated for six hours or more and the verdict		
5	is not unanimous but is agreed to by seven jurors, then the foreperson		
6	must sign, and the seven jurors who agree to the verdict must sign.		
7			
8	(check here) This verdict is unanimous.		
9	M. 10 1/0/00		
Toronorson			
11	Foreperson		
12	OR		
13			
14	(check here) This verdict is agreed upon by seven jurors. (The		
15			
16			
17			
18	Foreperson		
19			
20	Juror Juror		
21	Juror Juror		
22			
23	Juror Juror		
24	 Juror		
25			

#### IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR CERRO GORDO COUNTY

RAY D. PATTERSON,	)
Plaintiff(s),	) LACV073714
	)
V.	) VERDICT ENTRY
	)
JASON SENCER and LAURA SENCER,	)
Defendant(s).	)

This matter came to the court for trial beginning May 20, 2025. Plaintiff appeared personally and by counsel Jordan Talsma. Defendants appeared personally and by counsel Shaun Thompson.

The matter was submitted to the jury for deliberation at approximately 4:30 p.m. on May 23. At approximately 1:00 p.m. on May 24, the jury returned unanimous forms of verdict answering that Patterson has <u>not</u> proven all of the elements of:

Count I breach of contract

Count II fraudulent misrepresentation
Count III fraudulent nondisclosure

Count VI consumer fraud

Count VII breach of implied warranty of workmanship

The jury returned unanimous forms of verdict answering that Patterson had proven all of the elements of:

Count IV negligent misrepresentation

Count V violation of statutory duty to disclose

As to Counts IV and V, the jury assessed damages in the same amount, that being \$30,400.

Pursuant to the jury's verdict, the Court ORDERS as follows:

- 1. Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff Ray D. Patterson and against Defendants Jason Sencer and Laura Sencer, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$30,400
- Interest shall accrue at the statutory judgment rate of 6.00% from and after the date of the filing of the plaintiffs' petition. See Iowa Code §§ 535.3, 668.13.

3. Court costs will be assessed against Defendants, jointly and severally.

E-FILED

#### LACV073714 - 2025 MAY 28 05:33 PM CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

CERRO GORDO Page 3 of 3



State of Iowa Courts

Case Number Case Title

LACV073714 (CDW)RAY D. PATTERSON V. JASON SENCER & LAURA

**SENCER** 

Type: ORDER FOR JUDGMENT

So Ordered

Colleen Weiland, District Court Judge,

Second Judicial District of Iowa

Electronically signed on 2025-05-28 17:33:59