SHANNON DUNCAN,	)
Plaintiff,	) Case No. LACV026061
v.	
FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY; REPOSSESSORS, INC. and BRUCE SHORES	) SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES
Defendants.	}
We, the jury, duly empaneled in the al	bove-entitled action and sworn to try the issues
therein, answer these Special Interrogatories as	follows:
Pa	ort I
Defendant Ford Motor	r Credit Company LLC
1. Did Ms. Duncan prove by a preponder communication regarding a release was a thr purpose of obtaining something of value?	rance of the evidence that Ford Credit's reat to injure Ms. Duncan's property for the
YesX	_ No
If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, the	en proceed to Question 2.
If you answered "No" to Question 1, the	
2. Did Ms. Duncan prove by a preponder communicated a threat to Ms. Duncan?	rance of the evidence that Ford Credit
Yes	_ No
If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, the	en proceed to Question 3.
If you answered "No" to Question 2, the	
3. Did Ms. Duncan prove by a preponder Credit was the proximate cause of any damage	rance of the evidence that a threat by Ford ge to her property?
Yes	_ No
Please proceed to Question 4.	

4. Did Ms. Duncan prove each of the elements below by a preponderance of the evidence?

holding it out a	t granted Reposse as its employee ra Inc. to hold itself	ther thar	n as an inde	ependent contrac	on its behalf betor or knowin	y affirmatively gly permitting
Ye	es	Χ	_ No	2		
If you a	answered "Yes" to answered "No" to form in favor of I	Questio	ons 1 or 2 o	r 3 and "No" to	Ouestion 4(a)	, then sign the
(b) Plaintiff rea	asonably relied up	on that	apparent au	uthority;		
Ye	s		_ No			
If you a	answered "Yes" to answered "No" to form in favor of l	Questio	ons 1 or 2 o	r 3 and "No" to	Question 4(b)	, then sign the
(c) Repossesso	ors engaged wrong	gful acts	within the	scope of that ap	parent authori	ty;
Ye	s		_ No			
If you a	answered "Yes" to answered "No" Qu dict form in favor	uestions	1 or 2 or 3	and "No" to an	y part Questio	n 4(c), then sign
(d) The Plainti	ff reasonably relie	ed upon	those wron	gful acts;		
Y	es		_No			
If you a	answered "Yes" to answered "No" to form in favor of l	Questio	ns 1 or 2 o	r 3 and "No" to	Question 4(d)	, then sign the
(e) Plaintiff wa	ns injured as a resu	ult of he	r reliance o	on the wrongful	acts.	
Ye	s	-	_ No			
If you a verdict	answered "No" to form in favor of l	Questio Ford Mo	ons 1 or 2 o otor Credit	r 3 and "No" to and go to Part II	Question 4(e)	, then sign the
If you a	answer "Yes" to (	Question	3 OR "Yes	s" to all parts of	Question 4, g	o to Part II.

#### Part II

### **Defendant Repossessors, Inc.**

5. comn	Did Ms.	Duncan prove regarding a re	by a preponderance	of the evidence the	at Repossessors, Inc m's property for the
purp	ose of obta	ining somethin	g of value?	injuic Mis. Dunca	in s property for the
			No		
	If you an		Question 5, then pro	oceed to Question 6.	
	If you an	swered "No" to	Question 5, then prod	ceed to Question 8.	
6. comn	nunicated :	a threat to Ms.		of the evidence the	at Repossessors, Inc
		Yes	No		
	If you an	swered "Yes" to	Question 6, then pro	oceed to Question 7.	
	If you an	swered "No" to	Question 6, then prod	ceed to Question 8.	
7. <b>Repo</b>	ssessors, Ii	nc was the prox	by a preponderance ximate cause of any o	of the evidence the	at a threat by perty?
	X	Yes	No		
	Please pr	roceed to Questi	on 8.		
8. <b>Repo</b>	ssessors, L	nc.?	nderance of the evid	ence that Bruce Sh	nores is an employee of
		Yes	No		
Proce	ed to Ques	tion 9			

# Part III Defendant Bruce Shores

9.	Did Ms. Duncan prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Bruce Shores'
comm	unication regarding a release was a threat to injure Ms. Duncan's property for the
purpo	se of obtaining something of value?
	If you answered "Yes" to Question 9, then proceed to Question 10.
	If you answered "No" to Question 9, notify the court attendant.
10. comm	Did Ms. Duncan prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Bruce Shores' unicated a threat to Ms. Duncan?
	YesNo
	If you answered "Yes" to Question 10, then proceed to Question 11.
	If you answered "No" to Question 10, then notify the court attendant.
11. Shores	Did Ms. Duncan prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a threat by Bruce s was the proximate cause of any damage to her property?
	Please notify the court attendant.

Page 5 of 10

# Defendant Ford Motor Credit (Part I)

YOU MAY NOW SIGN AND DATE THIS FORM IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS INSTRUCTIONS BECAUSE YOU HAVE COMPLETED YOUR DELIBERATIONS.

Dated: 2/15/202	2		
Foreperson: Mala Jo	ranningmeier		
TO BE SIGNED BY THI	E FOREPERSON (	ONLY IF THE VERDICT IS UNANIM	<u>ous</u>
Dated:			
Juror	-	Juror	
Juror		Juror	
Juror		Juror	
Juror			

TO BE SIGNED ONLY BY THE JURORS AGREEING TO THE VERDICT AFTER SIX HOURS OR MORE OF DELIBERATION

## Defendant Repossessors, Inc. (Part II)

YOU MAY NOW SIGN AND DATE THIS FORM IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS INSTRUCTIONS BECAUSE YOU HAVE COMPLETED YOUR DELIBERATIONS.

Dated: 2 15 2022		
Foreperson: Kala Jahanningm	eiev	
TO BE SIGNED BY THE FOREPERS	ON ONLY IF THE VERDICT IS UNANI	MOUS
Dated:		
Juror	Juror	
Juror	Juror	
Juror	Juror	
Juror		

TO BE SIGNED ONLY BY THE JURORS AGREEING TO THE VERDICT AFTER SIX HOURS OR MORE OF DELIBERATION

WINNESHIEK Page 7 of 10

## Defendant Bruce Shores (Part III)

YOU MAY NOW SIGN AND DATE THIS FORM IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS INSTRUCTIONS BECAUSE YOU HAVE COMPLETED YOUR DELIBERATIONS.

Dated: 2 15 2022	
Foreperson: Kala Johanningmeur	
TO BE SIGNED BY THE FOREPERSON (	
Dated:	
Juror	Juror
Juror	Juror
Juror	Juror
Juror	

TO BE SIGNED ONLY BY THE JURORS AGREEING TO THE VERDICT AFTER SIX HOURS OR MORE OF DELIBERATION

SHANNON DUNCAN,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. LACV026061
v.	
FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY; ) REPOSSESSORS, INC. and BRUCE SHORES,)	VERDICT FORM IN FAVOR OF FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY
Defendants.	
We, the jury, duly empaneled in the abo	ove-entitled action and sworn to try the issues
therein, hereby find in favor of Ford Motor Cr	edit Company and against Plaintiff Shannon
Duncan.	
Dated: 2.15.2022	
Foreperson: Mala Ohamingmeur	,
TO BE SIGNED BY THE FOREPERSON ON	
Dated:	
Luca	
Juror	Juror
Juror	Juror
Juror	Juror
Juror	

TO BE SIGNED ONLY BY THE JURORS AGREEING TO THE VERDICT AFTER SIX HOURS OR MORE OF DELIBERATION

SHANNON DUNCAN,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. LACV026061
v. ) FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY; ) REPOSSESSORS, INC. and BRUCE SHORES, ) Defendants. )	SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES PART IV

We, the jury, duly empaneled in the above-entitled action and sworn to try the issues therein, answer these Special Interrogatories as follows:

#### Part IV Damages

1. In the space below, enter the amount of damage (if any) that Ms. Duncan proved by a preponderance of the evidence was caused by Bruce Shores and Repossessors, Inc. due to a request for a release that was a threat.

\$ 4000.00

#### **Punitive Damages**

2. Did Ms. Duncan prove by clear, convincing and satisfactory evidence that Repossessors, Inc. and Bruce Shores threatened to withhold Ms. Duncan's vehicle in exchange for a release of liability with malice and in willful and wanton disregard for the rights or safety of Ms. Duncan?

$\checkmark$		
$\Delta$	Yes	No

3. If you answered "Yes" in response to Questions 2 above, in the space below, enter the amount of punitive damages that you award to Ms. Duncan.

YOU MAY NOW SIGN AND DATE THIS FORM IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS INSTRUCTIONS BECAUSE YOU HAVE COMPLETED YOUR DELIBERATIONS.

Datad:	1	15	2022	
Datea:	_ L 3	1 1/2	LOUL	

SHANNON DUNCAN,	
Plaintiff,	) Case No. LACV026061
V.	
FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY; REPOSSESSORS, INC. and BRUCE SHORES	VERDICT FORM REGARDING REPOSSESSORS, INC. AND
Defendants.	BRUCE SHORES
We, the jury, duly empaneled in the al	bove-entitled action and sworn to try the issues
therein, hereby find in favor of Shannon Dun	can and against Defendants Repossessors, Inc.
and Bruce Shores.	
Dated: 2.15.2022	
Foreperson: Kala Thamingmuw	
TO BE SIGNED BY THE FOREPERSON O	
Dated:	
Juror	Juror
Juror	Juror
Juror	Juror
Juror	

TO BE SIGNED ONLY BY THE JURORS AGREEING TO THE VERDICT AFTER SIX HOURS OR MORE OF DELIBERATION