POLK Page 1 of 5

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COUR	T FOR POLK	COUNTY

POLKCLED	
2019 FEB 2 ! PM	1.0

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. CVCV054026 DISTRICT COURT

vs.

ATHENE ANNUITY AND LIFE COMPANY, an Iowa corporation, a/k/a MLS IOWA ATHENE, f/k/a AVIVA LIFE AND ANNUITY,

Defendants.

SPECIAL VERDICTS
AND
SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

We, the jury, find the following verdicts on the questions submitted to us:

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 1 MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

Question No. 1: Did Mr. Baldwin prove his claim of malicious prosecution as set forth in

Answer "yes"	or "no."
ANSWER:	No

Instruction No. 16?

If you answered "yes" proceed to Special Verdict No. 2. If you answered "no" proceed to Special Verdict No. 2.

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 2 INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE BUSINESS RELATIONS

Question No. 2: Did Mr. Baldwin prove his claim of intentional interference with prospective business relations as set forth in Instruction No. 22?

Answer "yes"	or "no."
ANSWER:	No

E-FILED

05771 CVCV054026 - 2019 FEB 21 01:01 PM POLK CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT Page 2 of 5

FILED POLK CONTINUES.

If you answered "yes" proceed to Special Verdict No. 3. If you answered "no" proceed to 2019 FEB 2+ PM 1: 0+ Special Verdict No. 3.

CLERK DISTRICT COURT

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 3 IDENTITY THEFT

Question No. 3: Did Mr. Baldwin prove his claim of identity theft as set forth in

-
Instruction No. 29?
Answer "yes" or "no."
ANSWER: No
If you answered "yes" proceed to Special Verdict No. 4. If you answered "no" to Special
Verdict Nos. 1-3 answer no more questions.
SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 4 DEFENSE OF GOOD FAITH IMMUNITY
Question No. 4: Did Athene prove their defense of good faith immunity as set forth in
Instruction No. 33
Answer "yes" or "no."
ANSWER:
If you answered "yes" do not answer any more questions. If you answered "no" proceed
to the Special Verdict No. 5.

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 5

	Question No. 5: State	the amount of da	mages sustained by	y Mr. Baldw	in caused by
thene					

Question 110. 5. State the amount of damages su	stanted by Ivii. Baidwin caused by	
Athene.		
1. Past lost income	\$	
2. Future lost earning capacity	\$	
3. Noneconomic	\$	
TOTAL (add the separate items of damage)	\$	
If you answered "yes" to Special Verdict No. 3 th	nen proceed to Special Verdict No. 6.	
SPECIAL VERDI DAMAGES – IDENT		
Question No. 6: State the amount of damages sur	stained by Mr. Baldwin caused by	
Athene's identity theft.		
\$		
SPECIAL VERDI	ICT NO. 7	
DEFENSE OF MITIGATION OF DAMAGES		
Question No. 7: Did Athene prove their defense of mitigation of damages as set forth in		
Instruction No. 38?		
Answer "yes" or "no"		
ANSWER:		
If you answered "yes" proceed to Question No. 8.	. If you answered "no" do not answer	
Question No. 8		

Question No. 8: State the amount of damages you believe that Mr. Baldwin could have
avoided.
\$
SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 8
Question No. 9: Do you find by a preponderance of clear, convincing and satisfactory
evidence the conduct of Athene constituted willful and wanton disregard for the rights or safety
of another?
Answer "yes" or "no"
ANSWER:
If your answer to Question No. 9 is "No" do not answer Question Nos. 10.
Question No. 10: Was the conduct of Athene directed specifically at Mr. Baldwin?
Answer "yes" or "no"
ANSWER:

Embara J () EFOREPERSON*		
*To be signed only if verd	lict is unanimous.	
Juror**	Juror**	
Juror**	Juror**	
Juror**	Juror**	
Juror**		

^{**}To be signed by the jurors agreeing after six hours or more of deliberation.