IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

NANCY ALICE JONES, Individually and as the Personal Representative of the ESTATE OF DAVID ALLEN JONES, and SCOTT ALBERT JONES, Individually,	NO. 18-CV-1021-MAR SPECIAL VERDICT FORM
Plaintiffs,	
vs.	
FRANCIS JOHN NABER,	
Defendant.	
We find the following verdict on the QUESTION NO. 1: Was Defendant Answer "yes" or "no."	•
ANSWER: 1e5	
If your answer is "no," do not answe	r Question No. 2.
QUESTION NO. 2: Was the fault of any item of damage to Plaintiffs?	of Defendant Francis John Naber a cause of
Answer "yes" or "no."	
ANSWER: Yes	

If your answer to either Question No. 1 or Question No. 2 is "no," then you shall not assign any fault to Defendant Francis John Naber. If your answer to either Question No. 1 or Question No. 2 is "no," then you shall not answer any further questions.

QUESTION NO. 3: Was David Allen Jones at fault?

Answer "yes" or "no."		
ANSWER: Yes		
If your answer is "no," do not answer Questio	n No. 4.	
QUESTION NO. 4: Was the fault of David Adamage to Plaintiffs?	Allen Jone	es a cause of any item of
Answer "yes" or "no."		
ANSWER: Yes		
If your answer to either Question No. 3 or Que not assign any fault to David Allen Jones.	estion No.	4 is "no," then you shall
QUESTION NO. 5: What percentage of the Defendant Francis John Naber and to David Autotal 100%.		
ANSWER:		
Defendant Francis John Naber	****	65 % 35
David Allen Jones	0/ /0	35
		Total = 100%

If you find David Allen Jones to be more than 50% at fault, do not answer Question No. 6.

QUESTION NO. 6: State the amount of damages sustained by Plaintiffs for each of the following items of damage. Do not take into consideration any reduction of damages due to David Jones' fault. If Plaintiffs have failed to prove any item of damage, or have failed to prove that any item was caused by Defendant Francis John Naber's fault, enter "0" for that item.

1.	Pre-death loss of function of min of David Jones:	nd and body	
2.	The present value of loss of consortium of Nancy Jones:		\$ 2,500,000
3.	The present value of loss of con- Scott Jones:	sortium of	\$ 1,000,000
TOT	`AL:		3,500,000
	n Miller Well was been grown in Language to be a second		
	ned only if verdict is unanimous.	_/s/Forepers FOREPERS	on ON*
Juror**	J	uror**	
Juror**	January State Company of State Company o	uror**	
Juror**	J	uror**	
Juror**	The state of the s		

^{**}To be signed by the jurors agreeing to it after six hours or more of deliberation.