

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR SCOTT COUNTY

<p>LISA CARROLL, Individually, and as Administrator of the ESTATE OF ANITA J. DICKERSON, and AMY LOZANO, Individually, Plaintiffs, vs. KAHL HOME FOR THE AGED AND INFIRM d/b/a KAHL HOME, Defendants.</p>	<p>No. LACE133482 <b>VERDICT FORM 1</b></p>
---	---

We find the following verdict on the questions submitted to us:

**Question No. 1:** Was Kahl Home negligent?

Answer "yes" or "no."

ANSWER: No

[If your answer is "no," do not answer any further questions and sign the verdict form.]

**Question No. 2:** Was the negligence of Kahl Home the cause of any item of damage  
to Anita Dickerson?

Answer "yes" or "no."

ANSWER: \_\_\_\_\_

[If your answer is "no," do not answer any further questions and sign the verdict form.]

**Question No. 3:** State the amount of damages sustained by Plaintiffs that were caused by Kahl Home's negligence. If Plaintiffs have failed to prove any item of damage, or have failed to prove that any item of damage was caused by Kahl Home's negligence, enter -0- for that item.

1. Pre-death pain and suffering	\$
2. Pre-death loss of full mind and body	\$
3. Lisa Carroll's loss of her relationship with Anita Dickerson	\$
4. Amy Lozano's loss of her relationship with Anita Dickerson	\$
TOTAL (add the separate items of damage):	\$

**Question No. 4:** Do you find by a preponderance of clear, convincing and satisfactory evidence the conduct of Kahl Home constituted willful and wanton disregard for the rights or safety of Anita Dickerson?

Answer "yes" or "no."

ANSWER: \_\_\_\_\_

**Question No. 3:** State the amount of damages sustained by Plaintiffs that were caused by Kahl Home's negligence. If Plaintiffs have failed to prove any item of damage, or have failed to prove that any item of damage was caused by Kahl Home's negligence, enter -0- for that item.

1. Pre-death pain and suffering	\$ _____
2. Pre-death loss of full mind and body	\$ _____
3. Lisa Carroll's loss of her relationship with Anita Dickerson	\$ _____
4. Amy Lozano's loss of her relationship with Anita Dickerson	\$ _____

TOTAL (add the separate items of damage): \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**Question No. 4:** Do you find by a preponderance of clear, convincing and satisfactory evidence the conduct of Kahl Home constituted willful and wanton disregard for the rights or safety of Anita Dickerson?

Answer "yes" or "no."

ANSWER: \_\_\_\_\_

Foreperson: *Walt*